	Case 3:06-cv-05287-RJB Document 18	Filed 09/05/06 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
12	·	
13	GEORGE HERTZOG,	
14	Petitioner,	Case No. C06-5287 RJB/KLS
15	V.	ORDER DENYING APPLICATION TO PROCEED <i>IN FORMA</i>
16	MAGGIE MILLER-STOUT,	PAUPERIS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND
17	Respondent.	FOR INDEFINITE STAY
18		
19	This 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition has been assigned to United States Magistrate Judge Karen L.	
20	Strombom pursuant to 28 U.S. C. § 636(b)(1) and Local MJR 3 and 4. Petitioner has filed an	
21	application to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> , requesting that he be allowed to proceed in this matter	
22	without having to prepay fees or costs; requests the appointment of an attorney, Stephen R. Hormel,	
23	to represent him in this habeas proceeding; and requests an indefinite stay until an attorney is	
2425	assigned to him. (Dkt. # 15, 16). Petitioner originally filed his habeas petition in the Eastern	
26	District of Washington on May 24, 2006, and paid the \$5.00 filing fee. (Dkt. # 5). After the case	
27	was transferred to the Western District, service was ordered by this court at no expense to petitioner.	
28	ORDER- 1	
	ONDER- I	

1 (Dkt. #7). Thus, petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis is moot. 2 Additionally, there is no right to have counsel appointed in cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 3 2254 unless an evidentiary hearing is required, because the action is civil, not criminal, in nature. See 4 Terravona v. Kincheloe, 852 F.2d 424, 429 (9th Cir. 1988); Brown v. Vasquez, 952 F.2d 1164, 1168 5 (9th Cir. 1992); and Rule 8(c) of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States 6 District Courts. An evidentiary hearing has not been granted in this case and the claims in the 7 petition are adequately set forth and articulated. Petitioner has not demonstrated that an evidentiary 8 hearing is necessary or that he is entitled to one. It is apparent that the issue before the court – 9 whether the petition is clearly time-barred – may be resolved solely by reference to the state court record. See, e.g., Campbell v. Wood, 18 F.2d 662, 679 (9th Cir.) (en banc), (cert, denied, 114 S. Ct. 10 11 2125 (1994). 12 Accordingly, petitioner's motions to stay, for appointment of counsel and for leave to proceed 13 in forma pauperis (Dkt. # 15, 16) are **DENIED**. 14 The clerk is directed to send copies of this order to petitioner and counsel for respondent. 15 16 DATED this 5th day of September, 2006. 17 18 19 Karen L. Strombom 20 United States Magistrate Judge 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

ORDER-2

28